# REPORT BY THE COMMONWEALTH AND IMMIGRATION OMBUDSMAN FOR TABLING IN PARLIAMENT

Under s 4860 of the Migration Act 1958

This is the third s 486O report on Mr X who has remained in restricted immigration detention for more than 42 months (three and a half years).

The first report 1002548 was tabled in Parliament on 10 February 2016 and the second report 1001377-O was tabled in Parliament on 20 October 2016. This report updates the material in those reports and should be read in conjunction with the previous reports.

| Name                    | Mr X                            |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Citizenship             | Country A                       |
| Year of birth           | 1973                            |
| Ombudsman ID            | 1001377-O1                      |
| Date of DIBP's report   | 10 November 2016                |
| Total days in detention | 1276 (at date of DIBP's report) |

#### **Recent detention history**

| Since the Ombudsman's pre<br>Place of Detention. | vious report (1001377-0), Mr X remained at Wickham Point Alternative | rt (1001377-O), Mr X remained at Wickham Point Alternative |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 16 June 2016                                     | Transferred to Yongah Hill Immigration Detention Centre.             |  |  |

### Recent visa applications/case progression

| 23 August 2016 | Mr X appeared before the Federal Circuit Court. The matter was |
|----------------|--|
|                | adjourned and listed for hearing on 27 February 2017.          |

## **Health and welfare**

International Health and Medical Services advised that Mr X was referred to a specialist for ongoing hand pain and at the time of the Department of Immigration and Border Protection's (the department) latest review was awaiting review by a surgeon for hand, thigh and arm swelling.

#### Case status

Mr X has been found not to be owed protection under the Refugee Convention and the complementary protection criterion and has been held in restricted detention for more than three and a half years. At the time of the department's review Mr X was awaiting the outcome of judicial review.