

**REPORT BY THE COMMONWEALTH AND  
IMMIGRATION OMBUDSMAN FOR TABLING IN PARLIAMENT**

*Under s 486O of the Migration Act 1958*

This is the second s 486O report on Ms X who has remained in immigration detention for more than 42 months (three and a half years).

The first report 1002987 was tabled in Parliament on 6 May 2016. This report updates the material in that report and should be read in conjunction with the previous report.

<b>Name</b>	Ms X
<b>Citizenship</b>	Country A
<b>Year of birth</b>	1988
<b>Ombudsman ID</b>	1001760-O
<b>Date of DIBP's reports</b>	11 July 2016 and 10 January 2017
<b>Total days in detention</b>	1276 (at date of DIBP's latest report)

**Recent detention history**

Since the Ombudsman's previous report (1002987), Ms X has remained in community detention.

**Recent visa applications/case progression**

2 June 2016	Safe Haven Enterprise visa (SHEV) application refused.
7 June 2016	Ms X's case was referred to the Immigration Assessment Authority (IAA) for review.
18 July 2016	The IAA affirmed the decision to refuse Ms X's SHEV application.

The Department of Immigration and Border Protection (the department) advised that Ms X did not request judicial review of the IAA decision within the specified 35 day time frame and she has not sought an extension of time to apply for judicial review.

Ms X has no outstanding matters before the department, courts or tribunals and is on a removal pathway. She was provided with information from the International Organization for Migration should she choose to return to Country A voluntarily. The department advised it is currently progressing Ms X's involuntary removal from Australia.

**Health and welfare**

International Health and Medical Services advised that Ms X has not required treatment for any major physical or mental health issues.

**Case status**

Ms X was detained on 14 July 2013 after arriving in Australia by sea and has been held in detention for over three and a half years.

Ms X's SHEV application was refused on 2 June 2016 and on 18 July 2016 the IAA affirmed the refusal. She has no outstanding matters before the department, courts or tribunals and is on a removal pathway.