

**REPORT (ABRIDGED) BY THE COMMONWEALTH AND  
IMMIGRATION OMBUDSMAN FOR TABLING IN PARLIAMENT**

*Under s 486O of the Migration Act 1958*

This is the second s 486O report on Mr X who remained in immigration detention for more than 36 months (three years).

The first report 1002526 was tabled in Parliament on 9 September 2015. This report updates the material in that report and should be read in conjunction with the previous report.

<b>Name</b>	Mr X
<b>Citizenship</b>	Country A
<b>Year of birth</b>	1997
<b>Ombudsman ID</b>	1003443
<b>Date of DIBP's report</b>	18 September 2015 <sup>1</sup>

**Recent detention history**

26 November 2015	Granted a Bridging visa and released from community detention.
------------------	--

**Recent visa applications/case progression**

23 July 2015	The Minister lifted the bar under s 46A of the <i>Migration Act 1958</i> to allow Mr X to lodge a temporary visa application.
--------------	---

**Health and welfare**

Mr X did not require treatment for any major physical or mental health issues.
--

**Ombudsman assessment**

<p>Mr X was granted a Bridging visa on 26 November 2015 and released from immigration detention.</p> <p>The Ombudsman notes that s 486N(1)(b) records the Secretary of DIBP's obligation to report to the Commonwealth Ombudsman within 21 days after the detention reporting time.</p> <p>In the case of DIBP's 30-month review on Mr X, the Ombudsman notes that DIBP advised that it did not meet its statutory reporting timeframes due to a system-related error which precluded normal reporting procedures from being followed, and that it is working with DIBP's information technology systems to correct this issue.</p> <p>The Ombudsman further notes that DIBP advised that it is working with DIBP's information technology systems to correct this issue and makes no recommendations in this report.</p>
---

---

<sup>1</sup> In response to an inquiry from the Ombudsman's office about an apparent breach of reporting timeframes DIBP advised that it did not meet its statutory obligations in relation to providing Mr X's 30-month review under s 486N. It further advised that this was due to a system-related error which precluded normal reporting procedures from being followed, and that it is working with DIBP's information technology systems to correct this issue.